

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/600,297	06/20/2003	Stephen D. Richardson	M61.12-0478	6312
27366 7	590 07/27/2006	EXAMINER		INER
WESTMAN SUITE 1400	CHAMPLIN (MICROSO	CHAVIS, JOHN Q		
	AVENUE SOUTH		ART UNIT PAPER NUM	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3319			2193	

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A 13 43	Analiaanda		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/600,297 ·	RICHARDSON ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		John Chavis	2193		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES OF THE MAI	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□		action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)፟⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat 9)□	Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-39 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r.	≣xaminer.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2)	nt(s) Dee of References Cited (PTO-892) Dee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) The mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) The No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 2193

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-39 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-28 of copending Application No. 10/626,925. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because as an example, the invention of claim 1 of '925 consists of providing information to reduce the likelihood of an error in a program by receiving a correction input; while claim 1 of the present application consists of providing information to reduce the likelihood of an error in a program by processing an attempted translation and both are directed toward improving translation accuracy and therefore are considered related inventions.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Response to Amendment

3. The preliminary amendment submitted on 6/20/03 is not clear and therefore, it has not been entered. The applicant does not specify that the amendment is to be inserted into the file or if it is merely provided as a description of the information already present. That is, if the data is to be entered it should be specifically indicated with specific instructions as to where it should appear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Santhanam et al. (6,247,174).

claims: Santhanam

1. A computer implemented method for providing information to an automatic machine translation system to improve translation accuracy, the method comprising:

See the abstract and title.

Page 3

receiving a collection of source text;

receiving from the automatic machine translation system an attempted translation that corresponds to the collection of source text;

See col. 1 lines 30-42 and col. 2 line 21-36.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,297 Page 4

Art Unit: 2193

processing the attempted translation and the collection of source text to identify an error in the attempted translation; and

providing information to the automatic machine translation system to reduce the likelihood that the error will be repeated in subsequent translations generated by

the automatic machine translation system.

- 2. The method of claim 1, further comprising: correcting the error; and providing a corrected translation.
- 3. The method of claim 1, wherein said receiving from the automatic machine translation system comprises receiving from a client upon which the automatic machine translation system is implemented.
- 4. The method of claim 3, wherein receiving from a client comprises receiving by way of a computer network.
- 5. The method of claim 4, wherein receiving by way of a computer network comprises receiving by way of the Internet.
- 6. The method of claim 1, wherein said receiving from the automatic machine translation system comprises receiving from a server upon which the automatic machine translation system is implemented.
- 7. The method of claim 6, wherein said receiving from a server

See col. 5 lines 14-19.

See col. 14 lines 3-16 and note that consistency checking and flagging helps to reduce repeated errors. Also, see col. 15 Lines 8-16.

u u u

See col. 6 lines 18-45, which specifies a front end processing (analogous to having a client or network providing information). However, where information is Received from does not change the overall functionality of the claimed invention.

u u u

...

ee ee ee

tt tt tt tt

Art Unit: 2193

comprises receiving by way of a computer network.

8. The method of claim 1, wherein providing information comprises providing information to be assimilated into the automatic machine translation system.

9. The method of claim 8, wherein providing information to be assimilated comprises providing update information to be assimilated into a knowledge source associated with the automatic machine translation

system.

- 10. The method of claim 8, wherein providing information to be assimilated comprises providing update information to be assimilated into translation correspondence associated with the automatic machine translation system.
- 11. The method of claim 8, wherein providing information to be assimilated comprises providing update information to be assimilated into a collection of linguistic structures associated with the automatic translation system.
- 12. The method of claim 11, wherein providing information to be assimilated comprises providing update information to be assimilated into a database of corresponding logical forms associated with the automatic

See the rejection of claim 1.

Page 5

16 16 16 16

Note also that the flagged portions that is inherently update information provides for this feature.

" " "

..

Art Unit: 2193

machine translation system.

13. The method of claim 8, wherein providing information to be assimilated comprises providing update information to be assimilated into a collection of statistical parameters associated with the automatic machine translation system.

See the validation function in step (d)-ii of claim 47.

14. The method of claim 8, wherein providing information to be assimilated comprises providing update information to be assimilated into a collection of parsing information associated with the automatic machine translation system, the parsing information being information that enables a parser to provide analysis of a collection of segments.

See fig. 5, specifically item 506, 507 and 509.

15. The method of claim 8, wherein providing information to be assimilated comprises providing update information to be assimilated into a collection of corresponding word associations associated with the automatic machine translation system.

..

16. The method of claim 8, wherein providing information to be assimilated comprises providing bilingual corpora.

See the intermediate representations in col. 6 lines 18-46.

In reference to claim 17, see the rejection of claim 1 above.

The features of claim 18 are taught via claim 13.

See the rejections of claims 4 and 6 in view of claims 19-20.

As per claim 21, see claim 9.

Art Unit: 2193

In reference to claims 22-27, see the rejection of claims 11-14.

The features of claim 28 are taught via claim 16.

See the rejection of claims 1-16 in view of claims 29-39.

Other references, although not specifically cited is considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure. For example, reference 6,698,011 also discusses isolating errors in translating programs.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Chavis whose telephone number is (571) 272-3720. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00am-4:30pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on (571) 272-3719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2193

Page 8

JC

John Chavis

Primary Examiner AU-2193

Joh Chi